

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/729,339	12/04/2003	Donald W. Taylor	DE010DT-1	1133		
7590 10/19/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
MICHAEL K.			MULCAHY	MULCAHY, PETER D		
ORSCHELN MANAGEMENT CO 2000 US HWY 63 SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MOBERLY, M	II 65270		1713	1713		
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

d	

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/729	,339	TAYLOR ET AL.			
		Examir	ier	Art Unit			
			. Mulcahy	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL assigns of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no lation. In period will apply and by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be tind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this composition (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 08 August 20	06.				
		☐ This action is					
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance exce	pt for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2,7-18 and 21-35</u> is/are pendi	ng in the applica	tion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) 2 and 7-18 is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 21-35 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objectio		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	- :	•	, ,	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreian priority i	ınder 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)			
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	roroign prionty t) (d) 01 (1).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have b	een received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			ion No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t				Stage		
	application from the International	•			3 -		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	S) Motice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/8/06. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/729,339 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 2 and 7-18 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauterbach US 4,614,674 or JP-11116889.

The rejection set forth under 35 USC 103 in the paper mailed 12/30/05 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

- 1. The newly amended claims and the remarks filed in support hterof have been fully considered but have been found not persuasive.
- 2. Applicants argue that the prior art does not teach removing conventional curing agents and replaceing the conventional curing agents with the claimed acrylate curing agents. This is not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,339 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Response to Arguments

3. In response to applicant's argument that the art fails to recognize the use of the acrylates as curing agents, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

- 4. In response to applicant's argument that the art fails to recognize the use of the acrylates as curing agents, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.
- 5. The use of the acrylate as an adsorbent in JP-'889 is not germane to the patentability of the claimed invention. The art renders obvious the claimed composition.
- 6. With respect to the USPN '674, one need not equate the claimed acrylate with the flattening agent as argued. The fact that the acrylate compound is used in the system is sufficient to render the claimed composition obvious.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

Art Unit: 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

10/12/06